Relief for housing affordability in the first quarter of 2024

By Kyle Dahms & Alexandra Ducharme

The first quarter of 2024 marked the first improvement in affordability since the second quarter of last year. The improvement was widespread, with every single market covered experiencing a decline in mortgage payment as a percentage of income (MPPI). The greatest improvement was seen in the three least affordable markets, where prices fell more on a relative basis. The composite experienced a trifecta of falling interest rates, rising incomes, and softer house prices. The drop in 5-year mortgage rates was the largest since the third quarter of 2020, based on expectations of rate cuts by the Bank of Canada. While the central bank has yet to begin easing in this cycle, the markets have anticipated that lower interest rates have filtered through to financing costs in this latest report. Overall, while housing did become more affordable in the quarter, the progress only brought the mortgage payment on the median home to 58.9% of pre-tax household income (left chart). Hardly an accessible level. Looking ahead, the supply side of the equation does not look good. In our view, new homebuyers should benefit from somewhat cheaper financing costs in the second half of the year, but this will not necessarily translate into a noticeable improvement in affordability. While house prices softened in this latest report, they may remain resilient due to still-strong demographics. The ratio of working-age population growth to housing starts has never been worse in the last 40-plus years. As a result, price dynamics for both purchases and rents should remain skewed to the upside in the current acute housing shortage (right chart).

HIGHLIGHTS:

- Canadian housing affordability posted a first improvement in three quarters in Q1’24. The mortgage payment on a representative home as a percentage of income (MPPI) fell 3.1 percentage points, the largest one quarter improvement since the second quarter of 2019. Seasonally adjusted home prices decreased 0.6% in Q1’24 from Q4’23; the benchmark mortgage rate (5-year term) slumped 32 basis points, while median household income rose 1.2%.

- Affordability improved in all ten markets covered in Q1. On a sliding scale of markets from best progression to least: Vancouver, Victoria, Toronto, Hamilton, Montreal, Winnipeg, Ottawa-Gatineau, Edmonton, Quebec, Calgary. Countrywide, affordability enhanced 2.2 pp in the condo portion vs. a 3.4 pp betterment in the non-condo segment. See detailed statistics on page 2.

Canada: Housing supply deficit hit new record in 2024Q1

Ratio of growth in working-age population to housing starts (quarterly data)
## Housing affordability statistics

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All dwellings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median home price</td>
<td>787,663 $</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>53,766 $</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>4,466 $</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>189,985 $</td>
<td>91,398 $</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly mortgage payment</td>
<td>6,680 $</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>242,872 $</td>
<td>97,257 $</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage payment as % of income</td>
<td>7,307 $</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>129,481 $</td>
<td>81,587 $</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQA</td>
<td>7,152 $</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>260,026 $</td>
<td>89,654 $</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQA diff.</td>
<td>3,520 $</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>150,475</td>
<td>94,361</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y change</td>
<td>2,533 $</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>109,377</td>
<td>94,353</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y change</td>
<td>3,836 $</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>163,366</td>
<td>103,165</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>2,199 $</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>94,964</td>
<td>80,798</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>2,266 $</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>97,836</td>
<td>85,910</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>5,287 $</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>222,743</td>
<td>93,136</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>5,924 $</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>215,402</td>
<td>86,633</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>1,040,104 $</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>208,021 $</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>5,287 $</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>222,743 $</td>
<td>93,136 $</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condo</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite 10 (C10)</td>
<td>601,759 $</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>35,176 $</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>3,428 $</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>146,672 $</td>
<td>91,398 $</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly mortgage payment</td>
<td>4,067 $</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>172,828 $</td>
<td>97,257 $</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage payment as % of income</td>
<td>4,373 $</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>102,458 $</td>
<td>81,587 $</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQA</td>
<td>2,102 $</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>90,773</td>
<td>94,361</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQA diff.</td>
<td>4,279 $</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>181,519</td>
<td>89,654</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y change</td>
<td>2,336 $</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>100,875</td>
<td>103,165</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y change</td>
<td>1,591 $</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>68,701</td>
<td>80,798</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>1,566 $</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>67,603</td>
<td>85,910</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>3,867 $</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>164,654</td>
<td>93,136</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>3,535 $</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>151,084</td>
<td>86,633</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>620,896 $</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>37,070 $</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>3,535 $</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>151,084 $</td>
<td>86,633 $</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other dwellings</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite 10 (C10)</td>
<td>874,492 $</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>62,449 $</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>4,981 $</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>210,214 $</td>
<td>91,398 $</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly mortgage payment</td>
<td>6,838 $</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>246,638 $</td>
<td>97,257 $</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage payment as % of income</td>
<td>7,357 $</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>164,154</td>
<td>94,361</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQA</td>
<td>9,547 $</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>347,114</td>
<td>89,654</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQA diff.</td>
<td>3,855 $</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>164,154</td>
<td>94,361</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y change</td>
<td>2,649 $</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>114,355</td>
<td>94,353</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y change</td>
<td>4,216 $</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>176,938</td>
<td>103,165</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>2,218 $</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>95,776</td>
<td>80,798</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>2,950 $</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>98,118</td>
<td>85,910</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>5,601 $</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>235,565</td>
<td>93,136</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>6,604 $</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>240,107</td>
<td>86,633</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Canadian perspective on housing affordability**

### Canada: Perspective on condos affordability
Monthly mortgage payment on median home price, condos (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

### Canada: Perspective on non-condos affordability
Monthly mortgage payment on median home price, non-condos (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

**World: Perspective on home price inflation**
Home price percentage change year over year (Last data available)

**World: Price of downtown appartements**
Price per square feet in USD for downtown living* (June 2023)

* For a 700 sq.ft. apartment

---

**Global perspective on housing affordability**

**Canada**

- Vancouver: Montreal, Toronto, Canada, Calgary

**World**

- Toronto: London, Paris, Montreal, New York City, Hong Kong, Beijing

---

**NBF Economics and Strategy (data via Statistics Canada, Teranet-National Bank, CREA)**

---

**World**

- Hong Kong: $3,083.38
- New York City: $1,793.88
- London: $1,618.97
- Paris: $1,129.14
- San Francisco: $1,110.58
- Berlin: $992.84
- Stockholm: $889.55
- Vancouver: $866.70
- Toronto: $820.74
- Miami: $797.93
- Los Angeles: $774.93
- Seattle: $760.02
- Rome: $658.77
- Montreal: $606.10
- Auckland: $579.22
- Beijing: $524.80
- Gatineau/ Ottawa: $444.27
- Chicago: $377.54
- Calgary: $300.63
- Quebec City: $295.47
- Edmonton: $244.99

---

**NBF Economics and Strategy (as of June 2023)**

---

**NBF Economics and Strategy**

---

**Finance Markets**

---

**National Bank of Canada**

---
Methodology

The National Bank Housing Affordability Report measures housing affordability in 10 major census metropolitan areas (CMAs) and summarizes the results in a weighted-average composite of the 10 CMAs. We track the condo market, other dwellings (single detached, semi-detached) and the market as a whole.

We measure two hurdles for the purchase of a home. First, a household must save the down-payment amount. We measure this requirement as the number of months a median-income household will require to save for the minimum cash down payment (CMHC insured mortgage) of the representative home at a savings rate of 10% of its pre-tax income. We evaluate the representative home using the Teranet–National Bank House Price Index for the market in question to calculate the home price for months before and after the median home price measured by the 2016 Census. For median household income in each CMA, we take the Statistics Canada annual data up to 2013. For subsequent months, we extend the series using average weekly earnings growth as a proxy and apply a Hodrick-Prescott filter to smooth short-term fluctuations.

The second hurdle for the purchase of a home is the monthly mortgage payment. We measure this as the monthly payment on a median-priced home assuming a 25-year amortization period and a 5-year term. The resulting amount is presented as a percentage of income calculated as described above (sometimes referred as MPPI). Note that we do not take the down payment into account in this calculation because there is an opportunity cost in a household’s use of these funds for that purpose. Also, we do not wish policy changes in this regard to affect our indicator over time. We also calculate the income needed to purchase the median property assuming that a household devotes 32% of its pre-tax income for a mortgage payment at the posted rate (defined as qualifying income and is adjusted for the down-payment). For the condo market, we also compare the monthly mortgage payment to the average rent for a two-bedroom condo in the same market. We calculate that rent from annual CMHC data, updated to the current month by the rent component of the Consumer Price Index. Note that data in the report was seasonally adjusted when necessary.
Toronto

Affordability in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) improved for the first time in three quarters in Q1. The MPPI* indeed decreased 5.4 pp to 82.4%, its lowest level since 2022Q2 but still well above the historical average for this city (52.6%). While the pace of the quarterly improvement was better than that of the urban composite, the level of the MPPI* remained above the average level (58.9%). This was true for both the condo (-2.7 pp to 50.2%) and non-condo (-5.7 pp to 84.4%) segments. The quarterly improvement in the composite index stemmed from a 1.7% decrease in home prices combined with a decrease in interest rates and a 1.3% rise in income. On an annual basis, the MPPI* was down 0.3 pp, compared with a 0.9 pp deterioration in the composite. *See tables page 2.

Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market

Non-Cond

$1,200,590

Household annual income needed to afford the representative home

Non-Cond

$248,638

Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market

Cond

$714,027

Household annual income needed to afford the representative condo

Cond

$172,828

Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)

Non-Cond

296

Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in the GTA

Cond

37.3%

Premium/discount for buying compared to the national urban composite

Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)

30.8%

Premium/discount for buying compared to the national urban composite

Toronto: Perspective on housing affordability

Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

Toronto: Buying compared to renting

Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo

NBF Economics and Strategy (data via Statistics Canada, Teranet-National Bank)
In the first quarter of 2024, affordability in Montreal as measured by the MPPI* improved following two consecutive quarters of deterioration. The MPPI* indeed slid 1.5 pp to 44.2%, which was still above its 20-year average (31.2%). While the quarterly improvement was slower than the one of the urban composite (-3.1 pp), the housing market still remained more affordable in Montreal than in the urban average, as the MPPI* of the latter stood at 58.9%. The quarterly improvement stemmed from a decrease in interest rates and an increase in income, which together more than offset a 1.0% increase in home prices. Both segments of the market improved in the quarter, albeit at a slower rate than their respective composite counterparts (-1.6 pp in the non-condo segment and -1.3 pp in the condo segment compared with -3.4 pp and -2.2 pp in all the urban areas covered). On a twelve-month basis, affordability still posted a slight deterioration in Montreal as the MPPI* was up 1.0 pp. *See tables page 2.

Montreal

Montreal: Buying compared to renting
Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Montreal

Montreal: Perspective on housing affordability
Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)
Affordability as measured by the MPPI improved 6.6 pp in Greater Vancouver in the first quarter of 2024, the fastest improvement of the markets covered. Nonetheless, this left the level of the MPPI at 95.7%, which continues to compare unfavourably to the average of other urban areas covered (58.9%). In fact, Vancouver remains the least affordable Canadian city in which to buy a home. The quarterly improvement stems from a 2.1% decrease in home prices in the quarter combined with a decline in interest rates and an increase in income. Both the condo (-3.8 pp to 57.3%) and the non-condo (-8.9 pp to 127.8%) segments registered faster improvements in their MPPI than in the urban composite in the quarter. Despite the quarterly improvement, the MPPI in Vancouver was up 1.8 pp on a 12-month basis, more than the increase in the composite. *See tables page 2.

### Vancouver

**Non-Condo**
- **Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market**: $1,676,096
- **Household annual income needed to afford the representative home**: $347,114

**Condo**
- **Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market**: $751,327
- **Household annual Income needed to afford the representative condo**: $181,519

#### Vancouver: Perspective on housing affordability

**Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)**

#### Vancouver: Buying compared to renting

**Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Vancouver**

**Premium for buying compared to the national urban composite**: 91.7%

**Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)**: 449

**Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo**: 66.3%

**Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)**: 67

**Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market**: $1,676,096

**Household annual Income needed to afford the representative home**: $347,114

**Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market**: $751,327

**Household annual Income needed to afford the representative condo**: $181,519
Housing affordability in Calgary posted a slight improvement in the first quarter of the year, as the MPPI* decreased 0.2 pp. This was the slowest decrease in the MPPI* of the urban markets covered in our report. The improvement stemmed from the non-condo segment (MPPI* down 0.3 pp), while the condo segment registered a slight deterioration (MPPI* up 0.2 pp). As a result, the MPPI* stood at 44.8%, which is still 3.9 pp above the level it was a year ago and above its long-term average (36.0%). Still, Calgary remains much more affordable than the composite 10 (MPPI* at 58.9% nationally). The quarterly improvement is attributable to a decline in interest rates and an increase in income that more than offset a 3.7% increase in home prices. *See tables page 2.
Edmonton

Housing affordability in Edmonton improved 0.7 pp in the quarter, a slower progress than in the urban composite (-3.1 pp). This was the result of a decrease in interest rates combined with an increase in income that more than offset a 1.7% increase in home prices. The level of the MPPI now stands at 32.2%, which is still well below the composite 10 (58.9%) but above this city’s long-term average (30.4%). The improvement in affordability stemmed exclusively from non-condos (-0.9 pp), while the affordability of condos deteriorated in the quarter (+0.4 pp). On a 12-month basis, the MPPI in Edmonton was up 0.3 pp, less than the 0.9 pp deterioration for the composite 10. *See tables page 2.

**Mortgage payment as a % of income (MPPI)**

| Non-Condo | 33.7% ▼ - 0.9% Q/Q |
| Condo     | 19.2% ▲ + 0.4% Q/Q |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Edmonton: Perspective on housing affordability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Condo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$464,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$265,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Edmonton: Buying compared to renting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Condo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$265,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium for buying compared to the national urban composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 46.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Edmonton: Perspective on housing affordability**

*Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)*

**Edmonton: Buying compared to renting**

*Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo*
Housing affordability in Ottawa/Gatineau improved 0.8 pp in the quarter, less than the progress of the urban composite (-3.1 pp). This was the result of lower interest rates and higher income more than offsetting a 3.0% increase in home prices. The MPPI* consequently sat at 44.6%, which remains below the composite 10 (58.9%) but well above the city’s long-term average (32.7%). The quarterly improvement stemmed from both non-condos (MPPI* down 0.8 pp to 49.0%) and condos (-0.9 pp to 27.8%). On an annual basis, home prices were up 4.8% and interest rates climbed. As a result, the MPPI* was up 0.5 pp over that period, less than the 0.9 pp increase registered by the composite 10. *See tables page 2.

Ottawa/Gatineau

**Non-Condo**

- **Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market**: $740,250

- **Household annual income needed to afford the representative home**: $178,938

**Condo**

- **Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market**: $410,184

- **Household annual income needed to afford the representative condo**: $100,875

**Ottawa/Gatineau: Perspective on housing affordability**

Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

**Ottawa/Gatineau: Buying compared to renting**

Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Ottawa/Gatineau

**Mortgage payment as a % of income (MPPI)**

- **Non-Condo**: 49.0% ▼ - 0.8% Q/Q
- **Condo**: 27.2% ▼ - 0.9% Q/Q

**Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)**

- **Non-Condo**: 57
- **Condo**: 24

**Premium for buying compared to the national urban composite**

- **Non-Condo**: -15.4%

**Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Ottawa/Gatineau**

- **Non-Condo**: -32
- **Condo**: -28
- **Media Condo**: -24
- **National Urban Composite**: -20
- **Regional**: -16
- **NBF**: -12
- **BC**: -8
- **Atlantic**: 4

**Average spread**

- **Non-Condo**: 16
- **Condo**: 20
- **Media Condo**: 24
- **National Urban Composite**: 28
- **Regional**: 32
- **NBF**: 36
- **BC**: 40
- **Atlantic**: 44

**Average monthly rent (r)**

- **Non-Condo**: 16
- **Condo**: 20
- **Media Condo**: 24
- **National Urban Composite**: 28
- **Regional**: 32
- **NBF**: 36
- **BC**: 40
- **Atlantic**: 44
Housing affordability improved 0.6 pp in the first quarter of 2024 in Quebec city. The MPPI* consequently sat at 32.7%, still well above its long-term average of 23.5%. The quarterly improvement stems from a decrease in interest rates and an increase in income, which more than offset an increase in home prices (+2.5%). Both non-condos (MPPI down 0.6 pp to 32.9%) and condos (-0.4 pp to 23.6%) saw affordability improve in the quarter. While the progress was slower than for the urban composite, the MPPI of both segments remained well below those of their respective composite counterparts. Despite the quarterly improvement, affordability in Quebec city was worse than it was a year ago, and the deterioration was faster than the average of the composite (+2.4 pp compared to +0.9 pp). *See tables page 2.

Housing Affordability Monitor
Economics and Strategy

Quebec City

Housing affordability improved 0.6 pp in the first quarter of 2024 in Quebec city. The MPPI* consequently sat at 32.7%, still well above its long-term average of 23.5%. The quarterly improvement stems from a decrease in interest rates and an increase in income, which more than offset an increase in home prices (+2.5%). Both non-condos (MPPI down 0.6 pp to 32.9%) and condos (-0.4 pp to 23.6%) saw affordability improve in the quarter. While the progress was slower than for the urban composite, the MPPI of both segments remained well below those of their respective composite counterparts. Despite the quarterly improvement, affordability in Quebec city was worse than it was a year ago, and the deterioration was faster than the average of the composite (+2.4 pp compared to +0.9 pp). *See tables page 2.

Quebec City: Perspective on housing affordability
Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

Quebec City: Buying compared to renting
Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Quebec City

Non-Condo

Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market
$389,450

Household annual income needed to afford the representative home
$95,776

Condo

Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market
$279,355

Household annual income needed to afford the representative condo
$68,701

Premium/discount for buying compared to renting
-55.5%

Household annual income
$279,355
$68,701

Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)
29
21

Premium for buying compared to the national urban composite
18.9%

Spread (%)

Average monthly rent (r)

Monthly mortgage payment on a median-priced condo (r)

Average spread

Spread (l)

NON

CONDO

CONDO

Composite

Quebec City: Perspective on housing affordability
Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

Quebec City: Buying compared to renting
Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo

14

Average monthly rent (r)

Spread (l)

Average spread

Spread (%)

Monthly mortgage payment on a median-priced condo (r)


NBF Economics and Strategy (data via Statistics Canada, Teranet-National Bank)

NBF Economics and Strategy (data via Statistics Canada, Teranet-National Bank)
In Winnipeg, housing affordability improved as the MPPI* decreased 1.4 pp to 31.7%. This was a slower improvement than the composite 10 (-3.1 pp), but the level of the MPPI* remained below that of the urban average (58.9%). However, despite the quarterly improvement, the index remained above its long-term average (26.2%). The quarterly improvement stemmed from an increase in income and a decrease in interest rates which more than offset a 0.2% increase in home prices. Both the condo (MPPI* down 1.1 pp) and the non-condo (MPPI* down 1.4 pp) segments improved in the quarter, albeit both moves were smaller than their respective composite 10 counterparts. On a 12-month basis, the MPPI* was up 0.1 pp, less than in the urban composite (+0.9 pp). *See tables page 2.

### Winnipeg: Perspective on housing affordability

- **Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market**
  - **Non-Condo**: $398,972
  - **Condo**: $274,888

- **Household annual income needed to afford the representative home**
  - **Non-Condo**: $98,118
  - **Condo**: $67,603

- **Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 10%)**
  - **Non-Condo**: 28
  - **Condo**: 19

- **Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Winnipeg**
  - **Non-Condo**: $398,972 (-30%)
  - **Condo**: $274,888 (-54.4%)
Hamilton recorded a 3.2 pp improvement in its MPPI* in the quarter, in line with the variation of the composite 10 (-3.1 pp). Despite the quarterly progress, the MPPI* remained above its long run average (68.1% compared to 40.8% historically). The quarterly improvement can be explained by home prices staying unchanged in the quarter while interest rates decreased and income increased. Affordability in the condo segment (-2.4 pp) improved at a faster pace than the corresponding composite 10 index in the quarter, while the improvement matched the urban average for non-condos (-3.4 pp). On an annual basis, affordability deteriorated 0.8 pp, which was also in line with the urban average (40.9 pp).*See tables page 2.

### Hamilton: Perspective on housing affordability

- **Non-Condo**
  - Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market: $983,302
  - Household annual income needed to afford the representative home: $235,565
- **Condo**
  - Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market: $678,941
  - Household annual income needed to afford the representative condo: $164,654

### Hamilton: Buying compared to renting

- **Non-Condo**
  - Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 20%): 94
  - Premium/discount for buying compared to the national urban composite: 72.2% ▼ - 3.4% Q/Q
- **Condo**
  - Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 20%): 55
  - Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Hamilton: 49.8% ▼ - 2.4% Q/Q

---

**Mortgage payment as a % of income (MPPI)**

- **Non-Condo**
  - 72.2% ▼ - 3.4% Q/Q
- **Condo**
  - 49.8% ▼ - 2.4% Q/Q

---

**Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Hamilton**

- **Non-Condo**
  - Premium: 63.1%
  - Discount: 12.4%
- **Condo**
  - Premium: 94
  - Discount: 55

---

**Premium/discount for buying compared to the national urban composite**

- **Non-Condo**
  - Premium: 72.2%
  - Discount: 3.4%
- **Condo**
  - Premium: 49.8%
  - Discount: 2.4%
In the first quarter of 2024, Victoria registered a rapid improvement of housing affordability as measured by the MPPI* (-6.1 pp). The improvement is the result of a 2.6% decrease in home prices, a decrease in interest rates and an increase in income. As a result, the city’s MPPI stood at 82.1%, still way above its long-term average (57.9%) and the composite 10 (58.9%). The quarterly improvement in affordability was faster than the corresponding composite 10 index for both non-condos (MPPI down 7.0 pp compared to -3.4 pp nationally) and condos (MPPI down 2.8 pp compared to -2.2 pp). The MPPI for all dwellings was 11 pp below the level it registered a year ago, in contrast with a 0.9 pp deterioration for the composite 10 (+10 pp). *See tables page 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Condo</th>
<th>Condo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,159,397</td>
<td>$240,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Price of the representative home in the metropolitan market</strong></td>
<td><strong>Household annual income needed to afford the representative home</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$620,696</td>
<td>$151,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Price of the representative condo in the metropolitan market</strong></td>
<td><strong>Household annual income needed to afford the representative condo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 20%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Months of saving required for the down payment (saving rate of 20%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Premium for buying compared to the national urban composite</strong></td>
<td><strong>Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo in Victoria</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Victoria: Perspective on housing affordability**
Monthly mortgage payment on median home price (25 year amortization, 5-year term)

**Victoria: Buying compared to renting**
Premium/discount for buying compared to renting a two-bedroom condo

**Mortgage payment as a % of income (MPPI)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Condo</th>
<th>Condo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91.5% ▼ - 7.0% Q/Q</td>
<td>49.0% ▼ - 2.8% Q/Q</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Economics and Strategy

Montreal Office
514-879-2529

Stéfane Marion
Chief Economist and Strategist
stefane.marion@nbca.ca

Matthieu Arseneau
Deputy Chief Economist
matthieu.arseneau@nbca.ca

Kyle Dahms
Economist
kyle.dahms@nbca.ca

Daren King, CFA
Economist
daren.king@nbca.ca

Alexandra Ducharme
Economist
alexandra.ducharme@nbca.ca

Angelo Katsoras
Geopolitical Analyst
angelo.katsoras@nbca.ca

Jocelyn Paquet
Economist
jocelyn.paquet@nbca.ca

Toronto Office
416-869-8598

Warren Lovely
Chief Rates and Public Sector Strategist
warren.lovely@nbca.ca

Taylor Schleich
Rates Strategist
taylor.schleich@nbca.ca

General

This Report was prepared by National Bank Financial, Inc. (NBF), a Canadian investment dealer, member of CIRO, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of National Bank of Canada. National Bank of Canada is a public company listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange.

The particulars contained herein were obtained from sources which we believe to be reliable but are not guaranteed by us and may be incomplete and may be subject to change without notice. The information is current as of the date of this document. Neither the author nor NBF assumes any obligation to update the information or advise on further developments relating to the topics or securities discussed. The opinions expressed are based upon the author(s)’ analysis and interpretation of these particulars and are not to be construed as a solicitation or offer to buy or sell the securities mentioned herein, and nothing in this Report constitutes a representation that any investment strategy or recommendation contained herein is suitable or appropriate to a recipient’s individual circumstances. In all cases, investors should conduct their own investigation and analysis of such information before taking or omitting to take any action in relation to securities or markets that are analyzed in this Report. The Report alone is not intended to form the basis for an investment decision, or to replace any due diligence or analytical work required by you in making an investment decision.

This Report is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable law. This Report is not directed at you if NBF or any affiliate distributing this Report is prohibited or restricted by any legislation or regulation in any jurisdiction from making it available to you. You should satisfy yourself before reading it that NBF is permitted to provide this Report to you under relevant legislation and regulations.

National Bank of Canada Financial Markets is a trade name used by National Bank Financial and National Bank of Canada Financial Inc.

Canadian Residents

NBF or its affiliates may engage in any trading strategies described herein for their own account or on a discretionary basis on behalf of certain clients and as market conditions change, may amend or change investment strategy including full and complete divestment. The trading interests of NBF and its affiliates may also be contrary to any opinions expressed in this Report.

NBF or its affiliates often act as financial advisor, agent or underwriter for certain issuers mentioned herein and may receive remuneration for its services. As well NBF and its affiliates and/or their officers, directors, representatives, associates, may have a position in the securities mentioned herein and may make purchases and/or sales of these securities from time to time in the open market or otherwise. NBF and its affiliates may make a market in securities mentioned in this Report. This Report may not be independent of the proprietary interests of NBF and its affiliates.

This Report is not considered a research product under Canadian law and regulation, and consequently is not governed by Canadian rules applicable to the publication and distribution of research Reports, including relevant restrictions or disclosures required to be included in research Reports.
This Report is a marketing document. This Report has not been prepared in accordance with EU legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and it is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research. In respect of the distribution of this Report to UK residents, NBF has approved the contents (including, where necessary, for the purposes of Section 21(1) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000). This Report is for information purposes only and does not constitute a personal recommendation, or investment, legal or tax advice. NBF and/or its parent and/or any companies within or affiliates of the National Bank of Canada group and/or any of their directors, officers and employees may have or may have had interests or long or short positions in, and may at any time make purchases and/or sales as principal or agent, or may act or may have acted as market maker in the relevant investments or related investments discussed in this Report, or may act or have acted as investment and/or commercial banker with respect hereto. The value of investments, and the income derived from them, can go down as well as up and you may not get back the amount invested. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. If an investment is denominated in a foreign currency, rates of exchange may have an adverse effect on the value of the investment. Investments which are illiquid may be difficult to sell or realise; it may also be difficult to obtain reliable information about their value or the extent of the risks to which they are exposed. Certain transactions, including those involving futures, swaps, and other derivatives, give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. The investments contained in this Report are not available to retail customers and this Report is not for distribution to retail clients (within the meaning of the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority). Persons who are retail clients should not act or rely upon the information in this Report. This Report does not constitute or form part of any offer for sale or subscription of or solicitation of any offer to buy or subscribe for the securities described herein nor shall it or any part of it form the basis of or be relied on in connection with any contract or commitment whatsoever.

This information is only for distribution to Eligible Counterparties and Professional Clients in the United Kingdom within the meaning of the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority. NBF is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and has its registered office at 70 St. Mary Axe, London, EC3A 8BE. NBF is not authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and the Financial Conduct Authority to accept deposits in the United Kingdom.

With respect to the distribution of this report in the United States of America, National Bank of Canada Financial Inc. ("NBCFI") which is regulated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and a member of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC), an affiliate of NBF, accepts responsibility for its contents, subject to any terms set out above.

To make further inquiry related to this report, or to effect any transaction, United States residents should contact their NBCFI registered representative.

This report is not a research report and is intended for Major U.S. Institutional Investors only.

This report is not subject to U.S. independence and disclosure standards applicable to research reports.

With respect to the distribution of this report in Hong Kong by NBC Financial Markets Asia Limited ("NBCFMA")which is licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC") to conduct Type 1 (dealing in securities) and Type 3 (leveraged foreign exchange trading) regulated activities, the contents of this report are solely for informational purposes. It has not been approved by, reviewed by, verified by or filed with any regulator in Hong Kong. Nothing herein is a recommendation, advice, offer or solicitation to buy or sell a product or service, nor an official confirmation of any transaction. None of the products issuers, NBCFMA or its affiliates or other persons or entities named herein are obliged to notify you of changes to any information and none of the foregoing assume any loss suffered by you in reliance of such information.

The content of this report may contain information about investment products which are not authorized by SFC for offering to the public in Hong Kong and such information will only be available to, those persons who are Professional investors (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance of Hong Kong ("SFO"). If you are in any doubt as to your status you should consult a financial adviser or contact us. This material is not meant to be marketing materials and is not intended for public distribution. Please note that neither this material nor the product referred to is authorized for sale by SFC. Please refer to product prospectus for full details.

There may be conflicts of interest relating to NBCFMA or its affiliates’ businesses. These activities and interests include potential multiple advisory, transactional and financial and other interests in securities and instruments that may be purchased or sold by NBCFMA or its affiliates, or in other investment vehicles which are managed by NBCFMA or its affiliates that may purchase or sell such securities and instruments.

No other entity within the National Bank of Canada group, including National Bank of Canada and National Bank Financial Inc., is licensed or registered with the SFC. Accordingly, such entities and their employees are not permitted and do not intend to: (i) carry on a business in any regulated activity in Hong Kong; (ii) hold themselves out as carrying on a business in any regulated activity in Hong Kong; or (iii) actively market their services to the Hong Kong public.

Copyright

This Report may not be reproduced in whole or in part, or further distributed or published or referred to in any manner whatsoever, nor may the information, opinions or conclusions contained in it be referred to without in each case the prior express written consent of NBF.

Disclaimer: Teranet – National Bank House Price Index

The index data and related information and materials ("Index Data") are protected by copyright law, both individually and as a collective work or compilation, and by trade-mark law, and other applicable laws. National Bank of Canada ("NBC") and Teranet Enterprises Inc. ("Teranet") grant to you a limited non-exclusive, non-transferable license to view, copy and print this report, provided that all copies that you make must be solely for your non-commercial, personal use, and must retain all copyright and other notices that are required. Except as provided in the previous sentence, you may not (for either commercial or non-commercial use) use, distribute, sell, modify, transmit, revise, reverse engineer, republish, post or create derivative works (where applicable) of any content of this report without the prior written permission of Teranet and National Bank (who are referred to collectively herein as the “Index Data Providers”). Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Index Data and other Index Data Provider intellectual property contained in this report may not be used as a basis for any financial instruments or products (including, without limitation, passively managed funds and index-linked derivative securities), or used to verify or correct data in any other compilation of data or index, or used to create any other data or index (custom or otherwise), without the Index Data Providers' prior written permission. You acknowledge and agree that you will not acquire any rights or licenses in the content of this report. The Index Data is for informational purposes only, and the user of the information contained in the Index Data assumes the entire risk of any use made of the Index Data. You understand and agree that the Index Data is provided “as is” and neither NBC nor Teranet warrants the accuracy, completeness, non-infringement, originality, timeliness or any other characteristic of the Index Data. The Index Data is not an offer or recommendation to buy or sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security or instrument or to participate in any particular trading strategy. Further, none of the Index Data is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Reproduction, redistribution or any other form of copying or transmission of the Index Data without the Index Data Providers’ prior written consent is strictly prohibited. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Index Data and other Index Data Provider intellectual property may not be used as a basis for any financial instruments or products (including, without limitation, passively managed funds and index-linked derivative securities), or used to verify or correct data in any other compilation of data or index, or used to create any other data or index (custom or otherwise), without the Index Data Providers’ prior written permission. In no event shall any of NBC, Teranet, their respective affiliates, any of their or their direct or indirect information providers nor any other third party involved in, or related to, composing, computing or creating any of the Index Data (collectively, the “Index Data Provider Parties”) have any liability to any person or entity for any damages, whether direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, loss of use, lost profits or revenues or any other economic loss) arising in any manner out of youruse or inability to use any of the Index Data contained in this report, even if such party might have anticipated, or was advised by notified of, the possibility of such damages.